

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE: '318 PATENT INFRINGEMENT  
LITIGATION

)  
) Civil Action No. 05-356-KAJ  
) (consolidated)  
)  
)

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
REGARDING ANTICIPATION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102**

For the reasons set forth in the Memorandum submitted herewith, Plaintiffs, Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., Janssen L.P, and Synaptech, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), respectfully request that this Court enter partial summary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and dismiss Defendants' affirmative defenses and Counterclaims regarding 35 U.S.C. § 102 anticipation. A Proposed Order consistent with this result is also submitted.

ASHBY & GEDDES

*/s/ Tiffany Geyer Lydon*

*Of Counsel*

George F. Pappas  
Roderick R. McKelvie  
Christopher N. Sipes  
Kurt G. Calia  
COVINGTON & BURLING  
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
202-662-6000

Steven P. Berman  
Office of General Counsel  
Johnson & Johnson  
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, NJ 08933  
732-524-2805

---

Steven J. Balick (I.D. #2114)  
John G. Day (I.D. #2403)  
Tiffany Geyer Lydon (I.D. #3950)  
222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 1150  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
302-654-1888  
sbalick@ashby-geddes.com  
jday@ashby-geddes.com  
tyldon@ashby-geddes.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Dated: November 17, 2006  
175316.1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE: '318 PATENT INFRINGEMENT  
LITIGATION

)  
) Civil Action No. 05-356-KAJ  
) (consolidated)  
)  
)

**ORDER**

The Court has considered the November 17, 2006 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed Plaintiffs Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., Janssen, L.P., and Synaptech, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs"). Having considered the matter, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED.

Accordingly, this Court hereby dismisses Defendant Alphapharm Pty., Ltd.'s affirmative defense of anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and Second Counterclaim as it relates to anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102. This Court further dismisses Defendants' Barr Pharmaceutical, Inc.'s and Barr Laboratories, Inc.'s and affirmative defense of anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and First Counterclaim as it relates to anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Court Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2006, the attached **PLAINTIFFS'**  
**MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING ANTICIPATION**  
**UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102** was served upon the below-named counsel of record at the address and  
in the manner indicated:

John C. Phillips, Jr., Esquire  
Phillips, Goldman & Spence, P.A.  
1200 North Broom Street  
Wilmington, DE 19806

HAND DELIVERY

Lynn M. Ulrich, Esquire  
Winston & Strawn LLP  
35 West Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire  
Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
Wilmington, DE 19801

HAND DELIVERY

Alan H. Bernstein, Esquire  
Caesar, Rivise, Bernstein, Cohen & Pokotilow, Ltd.  
1635 Market Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

*/s/ Tiffany Geyer Lydon*

---

Tiffany Geyer Lydon